# A Rule of Thumb for the Detectability of Gravitational-Wave Bursts

#### Patrick J Sutton

School of Physics and Astronomy, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom, CF24 3AA

E-mail: patrick.sutton@astro.cf.ac.uk

**Abstract.** We derive a simple relationship between the energy emitted in gravitational waves for a narrowband source and the distance to which that emission can be detected by a single detector. We consider both linearly polarized and elliptically polarized gravitational waves. We also consider several emission patterns: isotropic emission (unrealistic but simple), and emission patterns appropriate for sources that emit linearly or circularly polarized waves. We ignore cosmological effects.

PACS numbers: 04.80.Nn

# 1. Relating $E_{\rm GW}$ to $h_{\rm rss}$

We first relate the total energy emitted in gravitational waves,  $E_{\text{GW}}$ , to the LIGO-Virgo standard measure for burst amplitude at the detector,  $h_{\text{rss}}$ .

The flux (energy per unit area per unit time) of a gravitational wave is

$$F_{\rm GW} = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \langle \dot{h}_+^2(t) + \dot{h}_{\times}^2(t) \rangle \,,$$

where the angle brackets denote an average over several periods. For a burst of duration  $\leq T$  we can compute the average by integrating over the duration:

$$F_{\rm GW} = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt \left[ \dot{h}_+^2(t) + \dot{h}_{\times}^2(t) \right]$$
(1)  
$$= \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt \left[ \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df' \exp\left(i2\pi f't\right)(i2\pi f')\tilde{h}_+^*(f') \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \exp\left(-i2\pi ft\right)(-i2\pi f)\tilde{h}_+(f) \right) + (\operatorname{same}, + \to \times) \right]$$
(2)

Since  $h_{+,\times} \to 0$  outside -T/2 < t < T/2, we may extend the time integration to  $t \to \pm \infty$ . The time integral then evaluates to a delta function,  $\delta(f - f')$ , giving

$$F_{\rm GW} = \frac{\pi c^3}{4G} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df f^2 \left( |\tilde{h}_+(f)|^2 + |\tilde{h}_\times(f)|^2 \right) \,. \tag{3}$$

#### 1.1. Isotropic emission

To compute the total energy  $E_{\rm GW}$  emitted, we need to integrate the flux  $F_{\rm GW}$  assuming some emission pattern. Let us first assume isotropic emission. Then

$$E_{\rm GW} = 4\pi D_{\rm L}^2 T F_{\rm GW} \tag{4}$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2 c^3}{G} D_{\rm L}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df f^2 \left( |\tilde{h}_+(f)|^2 + |\tilde{h}_\times(f)|^2 \right) \,. \tag{5}$$

If we assume that the signal is narrowband with central frequency  $f_0$ , we obtain

$$E_{\rm GW} = \frac{\pi^2 c^3}{G} D_{\rm L}^2 f_0^2 h_{\rm rss}^2 \,, \tag{6}$$

where the root-sum-square amplitude  $h_{\rm rss}$  is given by  $\ddagger$ 

$$h_{\rm rss}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \left( |\tilde{h}_+(f)|^2 + |\tilde{h}_\times(f)|^2 \right) \,. \tag{7}$$

## 1.2. Linear motion emission

Axisymmetric motion will produce linearly polarized emission with pattern

$$h_{+}(t) = \sin^{2}(\iota) h(t),$$
 (8)

$$h_{\times}(t) = 0, \qquad (9)$$

where  $\iota$  is the angle between the symmetry axis and the line-of-sight to the observer, and we have selected a polarization basis aligned with this symmetry axis. The energy emitted in a narrowband signal is then

$$E_{\rm GW} = \frac{\pi c^3}{4G} D_{\rm L}^2 \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \iota) \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df f^2 \left( \sin^4(\iota) \, |\tilde{h}(f)|^2 \right)$$
$$= \frac{8}{15} \frac{\pi^2 c^3}{G} D_{\rm L}^2 f_0^2 h_{\rm rss}^2 \,, \tag{10}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the azimuthal angle in the source frame. This is 8/15 times the result for isotropic emission, (6).

#### 1.3. Rotating system emission

Rotational motion (such as from a circular binary) will produce emission with pattern

$$h_{+}(t) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos^{2}(\iota)) A(t) \cos \Phi(t), \qquad (11)$$

$$h_{\times}(t) = \cos(\iota) A(t) \sin \Phi(t) , \qquad (12)$$

where  $\iota$  is the angle between the rotation axis and the line-of-sight to the observer, and we have selected a polarization basis aligned with this symmetry axis. We assume A(t)varies slowly enough compared to  $\Phi(t)$  that  $h_+$  and  $h_{\times}$  are approximately orthogonal.

 $\ddagger$  Strictly speaking, we define  $h_{rss}$  as the root-sum-square amplitude from an *optimally oriented* source. This differs slightly from the standard LIGO-Virgo definition, which includes the inclination factors. In practice, however, all LIGO-Virgo papers to date have only simulated optimally oriented sources. The energy emitted in a narrowband signal is then

$$E_{\rm GW} = \frac{\pi c^3}{4G} D_{\rm L}^2 \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \iota) \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df f^2 \left( \frac{(1 + \cos^2(\iota))^2}{4} + \cos^2(\iota) \right) |\tilde{h}(f)|^2$$
  
=  $\frac{2}{5} \frac{\pi^2 c^3}{G} D_{\rm L}^2 f_0^2 h_{\rm rss}^2$ , (13)

where  $\tilde{h}(f)$  is the Fourier transform of  $A(t) \cos \Phi(t)$ . This is 2/5 times the result for isotropic emission, (6).

### 2. Relating $E_{\rm GW}$ to Signal-To-Noise Ratio

1

The detectability of a generic signal is determined mainly by its expected signal-to-noise ratio  $\rho$  for a matched filter. For a narrowband signal,  $\rho$  has a simple relationship to the  $h_{\rm rss}$  amplitude. We start from

$$\rho^{2} = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \, \frac{|F_{+}\tilde{h}_{+}(f) + F_{\times}\tilde{h}_{\times}(f)|^{2}}{S(f)} \,, \tag{14}$$

where S(f) is the one-sided noise power spectrum, and  $F_{+,\times}(\theta, \phi, \psi)$  are the antenna responses to the sky position  $(\theta, \phi)$  and polarization  $\psi$ . We may expand the square in (14) and drop the  $\tilde{h}_+ \tilde{h}^*_{\times}$  terms for all signals of interest: for elliptically polarized signals the two waveforms are orthogonal, while for linearly polarized signals  $\tilde{h}_{\times} = 0$ . (The waveforms are also orthogonal in the *unpolarized* case, where the two polarizations are independent stochastic timeseries. An example is white-noise bursts.) Assuming a narrowband signal, we find

$$\rho^2 = \Theta^2 \frac{h_{\rm rss}^2}{16S(f_0)}, \tag{15}$$

where we define the angle factor

$$\Theta^{2} \equiv 16 \begin{cases} F_{+}^{2}(\theta,\phi,\psi)(\frac{1+\cos^{2}(\iota)}{2})^{2} + F_{\times}^{2}(\theta,\phi,\psi)\cos^{2}(\iota) & \text{elliptical} \\ F_{+}^{2}(\theta,\phi,\psi)2\sin^{4}\iota & \text{linear} \end{cases}$$
(16)

Note that all dependence on the four angles  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\iota$  is contained in  $\Theta$  (the factor of 16 is for convenience, and follows the notation used in [1]). Substituting (6), (10), or (13) gives

$$\rho^2 = \Theta^2 \frac{G}{\alpha 16\pi^2 c^3} \frac{E_{\rm GW}}{S(f_0) D_{\rm L}^2 f_0^2} \,, \tag{17}$$

where  $\alpha = 1$  for isotropic emission, 8/15 for linearly polarized emission, and 2/5 for circularly polarized emission.

#### 3. Effective Range

We can now combine the results for  $E_{\rm GW}$  and  $\rho$  to compute the typical distance to which a source is detectable. We will follow the approach used in Section V of [1].

#### A Rule of Thumb for the Detectability of Gravitational-Wave Bursts

Consider a homogenous isotropic distribution of sources with rate density N. A signal from a given source will be detectable if the received signal-to-noise is above some threshold value  $\rho_{det}$ . The mean rate of detections will then be

$$\dot{N}_{\rm det} = 4\pi \dot{N} \int_0^\infty dr r^2 P(\rho^2 > \rho_{\rm det}^2) \,.$$
 (18)

Here  $P(\rho^2 > \rho_{det}^2)$  is the probability that the signal-to-noise of a source at given distance r with random  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\iota$  will be above threshold. Using (17), we may write this probability as

$$P(\rho^2 > \rho_{\rm det}^2) = P(\Theta^2 > \frac{r^2}{r_0^2}), \qquad (19)$$

where we have defined the fiducial distance

$$r_0^2 = \frac{G}{\alpha 16\pi^2 c^3} \frac{E_{\rm GW}}{S(f_0) f_0^2 \rho_{\rm det}^2} \,.$$
(20)

Our detection rate is thus

$$\dot{N}_{\rm det} = \frac{4}{3} \pi r_0^3 \dot{N} \left[ 3 \int_0^\infty dx \, x^2 P(\Theta^2 > x^2) \right] \,. \tag{21}$$

The integral is easily evaluated numerically:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} dx \, x^{2} P(\Theta^{2} > x^{2}) = \begin{cases} 1.838 \pm 0.002 & \text{elliptical} \\ 3.436 \pm 0.005 & \text{linear} \end{cases}$$
(22)

Following [1], we define the effective detection range  $D_{\rm L}^{\rm eff}$  as the radius enclosing a spherical volume V such that the rate of detections is  $\dot{N}V$ :

$$D_{\rm L}^{\rm eff} = r_0 \left[ 3 \int_0^\infty dx \, x^2 P(\Theta^2 > x^2) \right]^{1/3} \tag{23}$$

$$= \beta \left( \frac{G}{\pi^2 c^3} \frac{E_{\rm GW}}{S(f_0) f_0^2 \rho_{\rm det}^2} \right)^{1/2} .$$
 (24)

where

$$\beta \equiv (16\alpha)^{-1/2} \left[ 3 \int_0^\infty dx \, x^2 P(\Theta^2 > x^2) \right]^{1/3} = \begin{cases} 0.698 & \text{elliptical} \\ 0.745 & \text{linear} \end{cases} .$$
(25)

We note that for both linear and elliptical polarization,  $\beta$  is equal to  $1/\sqrt{2}$  to within a few percent. A convenient approximation is thus

$$D_{\rm L}^{\rm eff} \simeq \left(\frac{G}{2\pi^2 c^3} \frac{E_{\rm GW}}{S(f_0) f_0^2 \rho_{\rm det}^2}\right)^{1/2} \,. \tag{26}$$

## Acknowlegements

The author would like to thank Eric Chassande-Mottin for motivating this investigation, and for his careful reading of and helpful suggestions on a previous draft. This work was supported in part by STFC grant PP/F001096/1. This draft has been assigned LIGO document number LIGO-P1000041-v1.

# References

 Lee Samuel Finn and David F. Chernoff. Observing binary inspiral in gravitational radiation: One interferometer. *Phys. Rev. D*, 47(6):2198–2219, Mar 1993.