From turner Mon Dec 18 14:00:46 1995

Page **1**

From: turner (Linda Turner) To: mike@tristan.mit.edu Cc: turner Subject: Re: conversation with Kleinschmidt of Cambridge Acoustical Associates Date: Mon, 18 Dec 95 14:00:44 PST The number for your document is: LIGO-T95126-00-V > From mike@tristan.mit.edu Fri Dec 15 10:06:22 1995 > Date: Fri, 15 Dec 95 13:05:43 EST > From: Mike Zucker <mike@tristan.mit.edu> > To: worden@ligo.caltech.edu Subject: conversation with Kleinschmidt of Cambridge Acoustical Associates > Cc: lazz@ligo.caltech.edu, coyne@ligo.caltech.edu, rick@ligo.caltech.edu, fba@ligo.caltech.edu, gerry@ligo.caltech.edu, coles@ligo.caltech.edu, stan@ligo.caltech.edu, dhs@ligo.caltech.edu, weiss@ligo.caltech.edu, vogt@ligo.caltech.edu, wea@ligo.caltech.edu, otto@ligo.caltech.edu, turner@ligo.caltech.edu, sanders@ligo.caltech.edu Content-Length: 2204 [Linda: can you pls. print this out and assign a number? category = Technical Note, group = Vacuum Equipment. > John--> This morning I returned a call from Klaus Kleinschmidt at CAA, PSI's acoustics and vibration sub. He was following up on my suggestion to link up more or less directly with us so we could share information > derived from Accentech and RMP and not have them reinvent the wheel. We have to be careful not to relieve CAA and their customer, PSI, of > responsibility for their independent analyses, but I feel it's to our > advantage to kick them off as high up the learning curve as possible. > Other than some specific architectural questions (below), Kleinschmidt > agreed it would be good to meet with some of us at or around the next monthly > meeting or perhaps the one following. He agreed to check with Dave Moore at PSI, and I agreed to check with you and LIGO's > civil and systems people, whether we could add a general > vibration/acoustics discussion to the agenda. Clearly PSI
> would have to be present and agree to do it. For CAA it would be > more or less a kickoff; since the pump order was only just settled, the > only work CAA has done so far is on the turbo coupling bellows and > trying to interpret the 100% concept drawings. It would be best if someone familiar with the RMP and Accentech > vibration work and the structural details of the RMP design could attend this meeting, although I know the next monthly gives short notice (has Bagley agreed on a date yet?). Other than an overview of the analyses we and our subcontractors have done so far, Kleinschmidt mentioned interest in hearing about the following specific architectural features: slab construction details in the mechanical equipment room (thickness?) wall and column footing details wall joints between adjacent sections (flanking acoustical paths)

```
duct runs and joints between sections (flanking acoustical paths)

soil data (to estimate impedance/admittance etc.

Let me know if you agree and we can try to work it in.

Thanks,

mike

nike

P.S. I asked Klaus to please document the call with a memo to PSI with cc: to you and me, by way of Linda. Might as well start out on the right foot.
```