### Estimates for Motions due to Sound Fields

R. Weiss February 16, 1995 LIGO-T**950**136-00-E

Useful reference: Vibration and Sound P.M. Morse, Mc Graw Hill, (1948) The method of handling the sound diffraction by cylinders is described on page 352 and in the hand written memo from RW dated Jan 28, 1985.

## Ground noise spectrum used for comparison

LIGO standard spectrum

$$x(f) \le 10^{-7} \text{ cm}/\sqrt{(\text{Hz})} \quad 1 \le f \le 10 \text{ Hz}$$

$$x(f) \le 10^{-5}/f^2 \text{ cm}/\sqrt{(\text{Hz})} \quad 10 \le f \text{ Hz}$$

#### Forces due to sound waves

The reference pressure for sound when using db is  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  dynes/cm<sup>2</sup> or  $2 \times 10^{-10}$  bar.

The sound pressure forces on a surface are easy to calculate in those cases where diffraction of the sound waves does not have to be considered such as the force on a surface of a sealed box. Under these circumstances the sound pressure force density is simply given as

$$F(f) = p(f)A$$

where p(f) is the sound pressure spectral density in dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  and A is the one sided exposed area of the object.

Diffraction of the sound wave around the object becomes important when the dimensions of the object are smaller than the sound wavelength. Then, the net force on the object is reduced relative to the simple case since the full sound pressure gradient is not developed across the object. Morse gives a series solution for the force on a cylinder of radius a per length of cylinder in the z direction when a plane wave of infinite extent encounters the the cylinder. The plane wave is propagating in the x direction with a peak pressure of  $P_0$  and has an acoustic wavelength of  $\lambda$ . The important scale parameter is

$$\mu = \frac{2\pi \ a}{\lambda} = \frac{2\pi f \ a}{c_s}$$

where  $c_s$  is the sound velocity (3.3 × 10<sup>4</sup> cm/sec) and f the sound frequency.

In first order of the series expansion, the force per length on the cylinder is given by

$$\frac{F(f)}{L} \approx 2\pi \ a \ p(f) \ \mu \ \propto \ p(f)f \quad \mu \ \ll \ 1$$

and

$$\frac{F(f)}{L} \approx a \ p(f) \left(\frac{8\pi}{\mu}\right)^{1/2} \propto \ p(f)/\sqrt{f} \ \mu \gg 1$$

The peak sound pressure force per length on the cylinder occurs near  $\mu = 1$  and is

$$\frac{F(f)}{L} \approx 1.6\pi \ a \ p(f)$$

# Displacement estimates for various cases encountered in the LIGO buildings

Motion of the chambers:

Assume chambers as free masses, then the motion of the chamber in the sound field at frequency  $f_1$  where  $\mu = 1$  is given by

$$x(f_1) = \frac{0.4 \ a \ L \ p(f_1)}{\pi \ m \ f_1^2}$$

Chamber parameters

chamber		$p(f_1)$			$\mathbf{m}$	notes
	$\mathrm{Hz}$	$dynes/cm^2/\sqrt{Hz}$	$\mathrm{cm}$	cm	${ m gm}$	
$_{ m BS}$	37	$5 \times 10^{-3}$	144	522	$5 \times 10^6$	$1~\mathrm{cm}$ wall
$_{ m HAM}$	53	$6 \times 10^{-3}$	100	190	$1.5 \times 10^{6}$	1  cm wall

The value of the pressure spectral density,  $p(f_1)$  in the table produces a motion of the chamber equal to the standard LIGO ground noise displacement at  $f_1$  assuming a free chamber with no resonances. The values correspond approximately to acoustic noise fields of 43 db for the BS chamber and 47 db for the HAM chamber at the respective frequencies.

Motion of the seismic isolation supports:

Motions longitudinal to the length of the support rods transmitted through the expansion joints are the most serious. The method of estimation assumes that the sound field is incident along the longitudinal dimension of the rods. In this case the plane wave exerts forces on both expansion joints normal to the acoustic wavefront. The expansion joints on the opposite side also experience a force so that the net force on the support rod is the gradient in the acoustic field times the separation of the expansion joints. The scale parameter is again  $\mu$  with a being the longitudinal separation of the expansion joints. The net force on all four expansion joints becomes

$$F(f) = 2 A p(f) 2\pi f a/c_s \quad \mu \ll 1$$

and

$$F(f) = 2 A p(f) \quad \mu \gg 1$$

Sample parameters for the support assembly

a = length of the support rods = 280 cm

 $d=diameter\ of\ the\ support\ rods=20\ cm$ 

 $m = mass of the support rods = 7 \times 10^5 gms$ 

 $A = normal area of expansion joints = 960 cm^2$ 

 $f_1$  = frequency for  $\mu = 1 = 24 \text{ Hz}$ 

For  $f \geq f_1$ , the permitted pressure spectral density is given

$$p(f) \leq \frac{x(f) \omega^2 m}{4 A}$$

where x(f) is the displacement noise permitted at the support point. Using the LIGO standard spectrum x(f), p(f) must be less than  $7 \times 10^{-2}$  dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  or the sound field should be less than 64 db at 25Hz and 70 db at 100 Hz, as examples. The calculation is considerably different if one does not want to compromise the external active vibration isolation system. The expectation is that the seismic noise will be reduced at the support point by a factor of 30 in the band between 25 to 70 Hz. The allowed acoustic pressure fluctuations are correspondingly smaller by the same factor in this band, smaller than  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  dynes/cm<sup>2</sup>/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ . The sound level at 25 Hz should then be less than 35db and at 70 Hz less than 38 db.

The acoustically driven noise in the direction transverse to the support rods is much smaller. The sound diffraction in this case is around the expansion joints giving  $f_1$  as about 310 Hz.

## Spectra of the acoustic noise in clean rooms and laboratories

Hal Amick of Acentech has sent us spectra of various clean rooms. The data is given in db in an octave band around a mean frequency.

Table of acoustic noise

Class 10000 Adv. Microstr. LSU	lass 100 clean room	center frequency
168 ft diameter x 35 ft height	12  ft x  40  ft	
$\mathrm{d}\mathrm{B}$	dB	$_{ m Hz}$
64	77	8
64	75	16
65	74	32
74	71	63
72	69	125
61	67	250
58	63	500
54	60	1000
52	56	2000

The air turbulence from the circulation required to maintain the clean room conditions is clearly troublesome. The Advanced Microstructure facility at LSU is closer to the conditions in the LIGO vertex building although it has a cleanliness level higher than what we are asking for so there is some basis that it should be possible to reduce the sound levels below those measured at that facility for the LIGO. If there is no large improvement over these values it will be necessary to put acoustic shielding around the external active vibration isolation systems.