## LIGO II: THE SECOND GENERATION INTERFEROMETERS



### LIGO II: A QUANTUM LIMITED INTERFEROMETER



G000316

# FROM LIGO I TO LIGO II

#### Design comparison:

Parameter	LIGO I	LIGO II
Equivalent strain noise, minimum	3x10 <sup>–23</sup> /√Hz	2x10 <sup>-24</sup> /√Hz
Neutron star binary inspiral det. range <sup>a</sup>	19 Mpc	285 Mpc
Stochastic backgnd sens., $H_{100}^2 \cdot \Omega_{GW}$	3x10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.5-8x10 <sup>-9</sup>
Interferometer configuration	Power-recycled Michelson w/ FP arm cavities	LIGO I, plus signal recycling
Laser power at interferometer input	6 W	120 W
Test masses	fused silica, 11 kg	sapphire, 30-40 kg
Suspension system	single pendulum, steel wires	quad pendulum, silica fibers/ribbon
Seismic isolation system, type	passive, 4-stage	active, 2-stage
Seismic wall frequency	40 Hz	10 Hz
a numbers are 1.5x the 'BENCH' output to account for multiple interferometers		

# FROM LIGO I TO LIGO II

Upgrade approach:

number of ifos? assume all three ifos are upgraded

increase 2-km ifo to 4-km? current recommendation is yes

response? make 2 optimized for NS-NS inspiral detection, 3rd to be a tunable narrowband instrument

phasing? implement one new ifo first (18 mths?), then the second two in parallel

when? current thinking is start of 2006 for first ifo

#### Design approach

motivated by what is technically feasible, though still very challenging -> achieving a quantum limited ifo, e.g.

motivated by astrophysical benchmarks -> heavy use of 'BENCH' program to calculate detection sensitivities

# **ADVANCES IN SEISMIC NOISE**

Goal taken as 10<sup>-19</sup> m/√Hz at 10 Hz

corresponds to level of suspension thermal noise

- very close to gravity-gradient noise around 10 Hz
- ground noise attenuation of 10<sup>10</sup> required

#### □ Active seismic isolation

provides ~1/3 of the xo required attenuation

➤ provides ~10<sup>3</sup> reduction of rms at lower frequencies, crucial for controlling technical noise sources







# **ADVANCES IN THERMAL NOISE**

### □ Suspension thermal noise

 $\blacktriangleright$  fused silica fibers, ~10<sup>4</sup>x lower loss than steel wires

ribbon geometry - more compliant along relevant direction

#### Internal thermal noise

#### **Sapphire test masses:**

> much higher Q:  $2x10^8$  vs  $2-3x10^6$  for LIGO I silica

BUT, higher thermoelastic damping (higher thermal conductivity and expansion coeffs); can counter by increasing beam size (more from Kip)

#### **Fused silica test masses:**

intrinsic Q can be much higher: ~5x10<sup>7</sup> ? (avoid lossy attachments)

increasing beam size also helps, though more slowly





### A NARROWBAND INTERFEROMETER: 2ND WA IFO?



# LIGO II DATA

### Sampling rate

no increase over present 16384 S/sec for the GW channel; upper cutoff frequency is 1.5-2kHz for LIGO I & II

□ Number of channels:

increases due to added complexity: 2-3 x ?

### Number of bits/dynamic range

determined by (quasi)-periodic signals (violin modes of fibers), relative to the broadband background (shot noise)

thermal motion from a single suspension fiber:

 $x^2 = \frac{k_B T}{2M\omega_v^2(\omega_v/\omega_p)^2} \longrightarrow x_v \approx 3 \times 10^{-18}$  m-rms, from 500 Hz mode

► rss all 16 wires:  $x_v/x(f) \approx 10^{-17} \text{m}/3 \times 10^{-20} \text{m}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}} = 300 \sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ 

▶ present 16-bit ADCs are OK:  $1-5 \times 10^6 \sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ , ~3 orders of mag. from rms to peak (~2 orders for a narrow-band ifo)

## SUMMARY

In its first few hours of operation, the physics reach of LIGO II will exceed that of the 1 year initial LIGO science run

□ Much R&D still to be done!

sapphire materials development

- operation at high power: thermal compensation
- control and readout systems for signal recycling