# Status of High-Power Laser Development at Stanford

Shally Saraf\*, Supriyo Sinha, Arun Kumar Sridharan and Robert L. Byer

E. L. Ginzton Laboratory, Stanford University

LIGO Science Collaboration Meeting

Hanford Site, August 19 – 22, 2002

LIGO-G020356-00-Z



\*saraf@stanford.edu

# Outline

- Review of slab lasers.
- Progress since Livingston LSC.
- 100W demonstration results.
- 200W amplifier design and status.
- (Near) future work.



### Rod vs Slab





- 1<sup>st</sup> order thermal lens
- Spatially dependent birefringence (depolarization)

- 2<sup>nd</sup> order thermal distortions
- Slightly reduced mode-fill



### Face-pumping vs Edge-pumping





### Nd:YAG Laser Head







# Amplification Goal: 100 W

- Two zig-zag edge-pumped slab amplifiers.
  Brewster ends.
  - 3:1 aspect ratio (width/thickness).
- About 900 W total pump power.
- 10W LIGO laser followed by an external amplifier provides 20W to drive slab amplifiers.



### Experimental Setup for 100W demonstration





# 100W experimental setup





# 10W Amplifier





# 10W Amplifier upgrade

- Increased NPRO power from 235mW to 418mW by turning up drive current from 1.89A to 2.4A.
- Realigned optical train.
- Output power increased to 10.4W back to original specs.
- Set diode temperature to 24.1°C and pump current to 23A for the double passed amplifier.



# 20W Amplifier



- Replaced Faraday Isolator following 10W LIGO Laser.
- Replaced faulty power supply for external 20W amplifier.
- Mode matched beam into 20W amplifier (waist ~  $245\mu m$ )



## Beam quality after 20 W amplifier

Beam diameter versus Propagation Distance after 20W amplifier



**Measured output power ~ 24.4W.** 



### Edge pumped slab head assembly





### Slab 2 power amplifier set up





### Complication ---- Slab #1 cracked





### Results for slab amplifier # 1





### Power and M<sup>2</sup> measurement after slab #1

#### Beam diameter vs propagation distance



#### **Output Power from triple passed slab #1 = 39W**



### Slab #2 single pass power output

#### Output Power versus Pump Power for Power Amplifier (single pass)



Pump Power (W)



### Slab #2 triple pass power output





**Power out of MOPA ~ 71.8W** 



### Thermal lens measurements

#### **Thermal Lens Power versus Pump**





### Future work on 100W MOPA

- Compensate for thermal lens in second slab by using cylindrical lenses in triple pass.
- Faster beam quality measurements using holographic beam sampler to eliminate need for multiple wedges for attenuating power.
- M<sup>2</sup> measurement of MOPA output.
- Redo theoretical calculation of thermal lens.



# End pumping topology for 200W design





### Pre-amplifier Design : End-pumping



#### Slab Design Issues

- 1. Parasitic suppression
- 2. Pump light coupling and absorption
  - $\frac{Crystal Dimensions}{Width = 1.11 mm,}$  Length = 6.6 cm, Thickness = 0.9 mm





### Expected MOPA System Performance

Amplifier	Input	Multi-mode	Output
	Power	Output	TEM <sub>00</sub>
End-pumped	16W	60W	48W
$(P_{pump} = 130W)$			
End-pumped	48W	200W	160W
$(P_{pump} = 435W)$			
Edge-pumped	160W	500W	400W
$(P_{pump} = 1400W)$			

• Edge pumped design chosen for final stage because of heat extraction requirement and simpler engineering design without sacrificing much pump absorption.



# Status of 200W design

• Received 8mm x 8mm Nd:YAG composites with undoped ends from Onyx.



Diced up one composite in the Stanford Crystal shop.
 On one plate undoped end broke off cleanly from the doped portion. (possibly defective bonding from Onyx?)





### Status of 200W design (contd....)



• Second composite being diced up by Crystal River Optics.



### Future Work on 200W MOPA

- Get coated plates from MLD, inspect and dice up into slabs with final dimensions.
- Install Laser Line pump diodes with total pump power of 500W.
- Fabricate laser head with microchannel coolers.
- Integrate and start amplifier experiments.

