

#### **AdvLIGO Laser Status**

Lutz Winkelmann, Maik Frede, Oliver Puncken, Bastian Schulz Ralf Wilhelm, and Dietmar Kracht

Laser Zentrum Hannover

Frank Seifert, Patrick Kwee and Benno Willke AEI

LSC March 06 G060112-00Z



## Outline

- Optimization History of the advLIGO laser
  - New laser design approach
  - Component related complications
  - Quality inspection measures for new components
  - Final results of new approach

New resonator design to improve beam profile / mode control

- Asymmetric resonator design

























#### Better mode control





_			_	
			_	
			_	
			_	
	_	_		
		_	_	

 New resonator design to improve beam profile / mode control

– Asymmetric resonator design => output power ≈ 115 W

- Difficulties with low damage threshold of 45° mirr or coatings
  - Mirrors changed to high power IPG coated ones



 New resonator design to improve beam profile / mode control

– Asymmetric resonator design => output power ≈ 115 W

- Difficulties with low damage threshold of 45° mirr or coatings
  - Mirrors changed to high power IPG coated ones
- Power-loss due to impurities in laser crystal material
  - minimal Er/Yb contamination in crystal material
  - decrease in output power by unknown absorption effects
  - higher thermal-lensing



#### Comparison of laser crystals old and new



# Quality inspection measures for new components: <u>laser crystals</u>





# Quality inspection measures for new components: <u>laser crystals</u>



Variation of up to +/-10% in doping concentration for rods from different vendors



- New resonator design to improve beam profile / mode control
  - Asymmetric resonator design => output power  $\approx$  **115** *W*
- Difficulties with low damage threshold of 45° mirr or coatings
  - Mirrors changed to high power IPG coated ones
- Power-loss due to impurities in laser crystal material
  - after component (mirrors / Nd:YAG rod) change output power ≈ 125 W

- New resonator design to improve beam profile / mode control
  - Asymmetric resonator design => output power  $\approx$  **115** *W*
- Difficulties with low damage threshold of 45° mirr or coatings
  - Mirrors changed to high power IPG coated ones
- Power-loss due to impurities in laser crystal material
  - after component (mirrors / Nd:YAG rod) change output power ~ 125 W
- Limitation of output power due to depolarization effects
  - 45°HR1064nm / HT808nm => phase shift difference f or p- and spolarization up to 30°
  - mirrors act as  $\lambda$ /x-waveplates
  - additional depolarization losses

#### **Mirrors**

# Old mirror with low damage threshold: phase difference 3° for s- and p-polarization



1064.04 nm : 172.2096, 169.9302, -177.7206

Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 29/4, Zentralw.: 1054nm, Pol.: p-pol., Einfallsw.: 45°
Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 29/4, Zentralw.: 1054nm, Pol.: s-pol., Einfallsw.: 45°

Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 29/4, Zentralw.: 1054nm, Pol.: Delta, Einfallsw.: 45°





#### **Mirrors**

# New high power mirror with IPG coating: phase difference 30° for s- and p-polarization



1064.04 nm : -135.2536, -164.0485, -151.2051

Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 4/30, Zentralw.: 808nm, Pol.: p-pol., Einfallsw.: 45°
Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 4/30, Zentralw.: 808nm, Pol.: s-pol., Einfallsw.: 45°

Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 4/30, Zentralw.: 808nm, Pol.: Delta, Einfallsw.: 45°



#### **Mirrors**

#### Mirrors actually used: phase difference 20° for s- and p-polarization



1064.04 nm : 101.918, 123.4582, 158.4599

Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 30/2, Zentralw.: 1170nm, Pol.: p-pol., Einfallsw.: 45°
Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 30/2, Zentralw.: 1170nm, Pol.: s-pol., Einfallsw.: 45°

Phase in Reflexion, V/R-Schichten 30/2, Zentralw.: 1170nm, Pol.: Delta, Einfallsw.: 45°





# Quality inspection measures for new components: <u>mirror's</u>





- New resonator design to improve beam profile / mode control
  - Asymmetric resonator design => output power  $\approx$  **115** *W*
- Difficulties with low damage threshold of 45° mirr or coatings
  - Mirrors changed to high power IPG coated ones
- Power-loss due to impurities in laser crystal material
  - after component (mirrors / Nd:YAG rod) change output power ~ 125 W
- Limitation of output power due to depolarization effects
  - Increased output power by use of mirrors with 20° phase shift to ≈ 150 W



- New resonator design to improve beam profile / mode control
  - Asymmetric resonator design => output power  $\approx$  **115** *W*
- Difficulties with low damage threshold of 45° mirr or coatings
  - Mirrors changed to high power IPG coated ones
- Power-loss due to impurities in laser crystal material
  - after component (mirrors / Nd:YAG rod) change output power ~ 125 W
- Limitation of output power due to depolarization effects
  - Increased output power by use of mirrors with 20° phase shift to  $\approx$  **150** *W*
- Improvement of locking range
  - Replaced front-end by 35 W Nd:YVO<sub>4</sub> amplifier

#### New Laser Design



## Injection-Locked Single Frequency Output-Power



Single-frequency TEM<sub>00</sub> output power 183 W



## **Beam Quality**



Optimization of front-end to HPL mode-matching: Further improvements in output power and beam quality possible

### Summary and Outlook

- 35 W front-end implemented
- 183 W linearly polarized output power (>200 W expected due to new mirrors)
- Beam quality  $M^2 < 1.2$  (has to be optimized)

- Quality inspection measures for incoming components
- Further investigation on PET mirror resonance
- More accurate mode-matching of front-end and HPL

