

## **TNI Progress and Status**

Greg Ogin LSC Meeting March 22, 2007

Eric Black, Kenneth Libbrecht, Dennis Coyne Grad students: Akira Villar, Ilaria Taurasi



# Current Areas of Activity

- Parametric Instability Damping
- Improved Calibration / Spot Size Measurement
- Aperiodic Coating



## **Initial Q-Suppression Ideas**







# LIGO

current

source

LIGO-G070107-00-Z

# Copper Rings

- Monolithic copper rings machined ٠ undersized, heated for expansion, then placed around the barrel of the optic.
- Heating to 200°C caused rings to • expand sufficiently to slip over mirrors easily, and cool with no apparent damage to the optic.

induction

copper

coil

- Q reduction still good, as with buna •
- Broadband noise floor nearly • unaffected below  $\sim 20 \text{kHz}$ .







## Loss Angle Discrepancy

- TNI measurements of loss angle for titania-doped tantala / silica mirror coatings gave different results than those obtained from Q measurements
  - Harry et al, Class. Quantum Grav., **24** (2007) 405-415

TNI:  $\phi_{eff} = (2.41 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-6}$  Q Measurements:  $\phi_{eff} = (4.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ 

• Discrepancy ~40% is significant.

Relationship between loss angle and length noise:

$$S_x(f) = \frac{2k_BT}{\pi^{3/2}f} \frac{\left(1 - \sigma^2\right)}{wY} \phi_{eff}$$

# **TNI** Calibration

• Extract length noise from error signal

$$\delta \ell = \frac{1 + DHMC}{DC} \delta V$$

- Must know each transfer function accurately!
- Electronic transfer function *H* specified by design, verified by direct measurement.
- Conversion factor *C*

$$C = \frac{v}{L}$$

- Discriminant *D* and mirror response *M* each measured two different ways.
- Additional tests localize noise within the test cavities.
  - Scaling with laser power
  - Scaling with modulation depth



LIGO Previous Model for H, w/ Measured Parameters

$$H(f) = g_1 \times 1.7 \times 60 \times \frac{1 + i\frac{f}{105}}{1 + i\frac{f}{4.6}} \times \frac{1 + i\frac{f}{470}}{(1 + i\frac{f}{11000}} + \frac{1 + i\frac{f}{470}}{0.1 + 1 + i\frac{f}{100}} + \frac{1 + i\frac{f}{470}}{0.1 + 1 + i\frac{f}{1000}} + \frac{1 + i\frac{f}{470}}{0.1 + i\frac{f}{1000}}{0.1 + i\frac{f}{1000}$$

# **Reasonably Accurate**

• Within a few percent of the measured response all the way up to 3 kHz.

LIGO

• Negligible contribution to error in D.



#### New Formula - Verified by Measurement







- Within 1% of measured response up to about 10 kHz
- Negligible contribution to error.
- Using to reanalyze the undoped and doped coating noise data along with a more accurate value for C.





## Spot Size and Coating Thickness

- Need to know both spot size and coating thickness to extract loss angle
- Had been using  $w = 160 \mu m$
- Optical, physical measurements give  $w = 163 + 10 \mu m$  (still being refined)
  - Leads to expected ~4% increase in phi (denominator has  $w^2$ )
- Had been using  $d = 4.26 \mu m$  (REO): Undoped coating thickness
- Actual value is  $d = 4.515 \mu m$  (LMA): Doped coating thickness
  - Leads to a 6% reduction in phi
- Net effect is a change in phi which is on the order of the uncertainty in this number.

$$S_{x}(f) \approx \frac{2k_{B}T}{\pi^{3/2}f} \frac{(1-\sigma^{2})}{wY} \left\{ \phi_{sub} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{d}{w} \left( \frac{1-2\sigma_{c}}{1-\sigma_{c}} \right) \phi_{coat} \right\}$$

# Cavity Length L

- Mirror radius of curvature had been neglected!
- Increases cavity length by 26%.

LIGO

- Taking center of mass shift into account gives a suspended cavity length of 1.24cm.
- This would give a spot size of 163.6µm, in agreement with optical measurement.
- This change decreases C, raising the equivalent length noise
- Still working numbers, but this is the right order of magnitude to account for loss angle discrepancy

$$C = \frac{v}{L} \qquad \delta \ell \approx \frac{\delta V}{DC}$$





## **Aperiodic Coatings**

- Goal: reduce high-loss material in HR coating by varying layer thickness, while preserving phase relationship for reflection
- Collaboration with

- Vincenzo Galdi and Innocenzo Pinto at Benevento (coating design),
- LMA at Lyon (fabrication and loss measurements), and
- Sheila Rowan at Glasgow (loss measurements)
- We have a design for an aperiodic coating using silica and UN-doped tantala.
- Currently waiting on fabrication by LMA as soon as we get new coatings, we will measure actual noise at TNI.
- There have been issues with fabrication. LMA is working on resolution.

# <u>Summary</u>

• Parametric instability

- Copper rings reduce mechanical Q with small noise floor increase
- Calibration of instrument
  - Improved electronic transfer function
  - Precise measurements of cavity length and spot size are in progress
  - Corrected cavity length value for transfer function, should significantly reduce discrepancy in TiO<sub>2</sub>-doped Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> coating loss angle measurements
- Aperiodic coatings
  - Currently in fabrication



Ju, et al. G050325-00

LIGO

## **Condition for Instability**



Ju, et al. G050325-00 who got it from Braginsky, et al. Phys. Lett. A 305, 111 (2002)



# Optical Measurement of w<sub>0</sub>

• Gaussian beam waist size is equivalent to spreading angle: 2

$$\theta = \frac{\pi w_0^2}{\lambda}$$

• ISO standard (11146-1) for measuring spreading angle (using a lens of focal length f):

$$\theta = \frac{w_f}{f}$$

- Preliminary results:  $w = 163 + -10 \mu m$
- Large error due to issues measuring focal length of lens, will be resolved soon.





# Direct Measurement of L

• Performed direct measurement of mirror separation at edges using calipers

- Faces were slightly tilted, but geometrically deduce separation at center to be ~1.216 cm
- This corresponds to a beam waist of 162.3 μm - consistent with other results



