



#### Reducing False Alarms in Searches for Gravitational Waves from Coalescing Binary Systems

Andrés C. Rodríguez Louisiana State University M.S. Thesis Defense Thesis Advisor: Professor Gabriela González 6.20.07 LIGO-G070394-00-Z









# Outline

- LIGO
- Sources of Gravitational Waves
- Data Analysis for Coalescing Binary Systems
- Methods to Reduce False Alarms  $\chi^2$  Veto, r<sup>2</sup> Test
- LIGO S3 Primordial Black Hole Search
- Conclusions





#### Laser Interferometer Gravitational Wave Observatory (LIGO)









# Improved Sensitivity, LIGO Science Runs







## **Sources of Gravitational Waves**

Crab pulsar (NASA, Chandra Observatory)

- *periodic signals*: pulsars
- *burst signals*: supernovae, gamma ray bursts

• *stochastic background*: early universe, unresolved sources



Supernova 1987A Ring:



NASA, WMAP

• *compact binary coalescing (CBC) systems*: neutron stars, black holes





- General Relativity predicts the decay of the binary orbit due to the emission of gravitational radiation.
- Waveforms can be well approximated by 2<sup>nd</sup> order post-Newtonian expansion.

Non -spinning waveforms parameterized by:

- » masses:  $m_1, m_2$ , total mass M, or reduced mass  $\mu$
- » orbital phase  $\phi$  & orientation  $\iota$
- » position in the sky:  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$

 $h(t) = F_{+}h_{+}(t) + F_{\times}h_{\times}$ 

(t)  

$$F_{+} = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos^{2}\theta)\cos 2\phi$$
  
 $F_{\times} = \cos\theta\sin 2\phi$ 

$$h_{+}(t) = \frac{1 + \cos^{2}\iota}{2} \left(\frac{G\mathcal{M}}{c^{2}D}\right) \left(\frac{t_{c} - t}{5G\mathcal{M}/c^{3}}\right)^{1/4} \times \cos\iota[2\phi_{c} - 2\phi\left(t - t_{c};\mathcal{M},\mu\right)]$$

$$h_{ imes}(t) = \cos \iota \left(rac{G\mathcal{M}}{c^2 D}
ight) \left(rac{t_c - t}{5G\mathcal{M}/c^3}
ight)^{1/4} imes \sin \iota [2\phi_c - 2\phi \left(t - t_c; \mathcal{M}, \mu
ight)]$$





• Effective Distance:

$$D_{\rm eff} = \frac{D}{\sqrt{F_+^2 (1 + \cos^2 \iota)^2 / 4 + F_\times^2 (\cos \iota)^2}}$$

• Chirp Mass: 
$$\mathcal{M} = rac{(m_1m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1+m_2)^{1/5}}$$

• Symmetric Mass ratio  $\eta$ :

$$\eta=rac{m_1m_2}{(m_1+m_2)^2}=rac{\mu}{\mathcal{M}}$$





# **Matched Filtering**

• Data stream searched using matched filtering between data & template waveform: s(t) = n(t) + h(t)

**Effective Distance:** 

• Matched Filter:  $z(t)=4\int_0^\infty rac{ ilde{h}^*(f) ilde{s}(f)}{S_{
m n}(f)}\,e^{2\pi i ft}df$ 

$$D_{ ext{eff}} = (1 ext{Mpc}) rac{\sigma}{
ho}$$

Characteristic Amplitude:

$$\sigma^2 = 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{|\tilde{h}(f)|^2}{S(f)} df$$

- Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR):  $\rho(t) = \frac{|z(t)|}{\sigma}$
- Inspiral Trigger:  $ho = rac{|z_{\max}|}{\sigma} \quad \& \quad 
  ho > 
  ho^*$





- Data Collection
- Template Bank Generation: h(f)
- Matched Filter I: z(t),  $\rho$ (t), ask  $\rho > \rho^*$
- 1st Coincidence (time,  $\eta$ ,  $M_c$ )
- Matched Filter 2:  $\chi^2$ , r<sup>2</sup> Test
- 2nd Coincidence (time,  $\eta$ ,  $M_c$ )
- Follow Up





$$\chi^2(t) = p \sum_{i=1}^p |
ho_i(t) - 
ho(t)/p|^2$$

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\xi^2 = rac{\chi^2}{p+\delta
ho^2}$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

**Inspiral Trigger:** 

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

6.20.07

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Simulated Waveform vs False Alarm**

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Methods to Reduce False Alarms II: r<sup>2</sup> Test

- Use the r<sup>2</sup> time series ( $\chi^2/p$ ) as a method to search for excess noise.
- Impose a higher r<sup>2</sup> threshold (r<sup>\*2</sup>) than the search employs.
- 15 ∆t = 0.9 sec χ<sup>2</sup>/p » Count the number of Δt\* time samples ( $\Delta t$ ) above r<sup>\*2</sup> in a time 10 interval ( $\Delta t_*$ ) before value inferred coalescence **ξ**\*2 -3.5 6.20.07 -3 -0.50 0.5 -2.5inferred coalescence time (s)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Methods to Reduce False Alarms II r<sup>2</sup> Test Result: S4 BNS Search

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### r<sup>2</sup> Test Results:

Searches performed by CBC Group in the LSC

r<sup>2</sup> Test Results for LIGO CBC Searches

	r <sup>2</sup> veto version	Falsely Dismissed Injections (%)	Vetoed False Alarms (%)
S3BNS	1	0.001	43.0
S3PBH	1	0.0	26.5
S4BNS	1	0.0	35.0
S4PBH	1	0.0	35.0
S5BNS (epoch 1)	2	0.001	26.9
S5BBH (epoch 1)	2	0.12	19.1

 r<sup>2</sup> test is included in current LIGO searches: S5 Low Mass (M < 35M<sub>SOL</sub>) 1 year

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

# S3 Primordial Black Hole (PBH) Search I

- Black hole composed with mass < 1.0  $\rm M_{SOL}\,$  is believed to be a primordial black hole (PBH).
- They are compact objects may have formed in the early, highly compressed stages of the universe immediately following the big bang.
- Speculated to be part of the galactic halo or constituent of dark matter (small fraction).
- Binary system composed of two PBH's will emit gravitational waves that may be detectable by LIGO.
- A PBH binary composed of 2 x 0.35  $\rm M_{SOL}$  objects would have a coalescence frequency of 2023Hz and would spend about 22 seconds in LIGO's sensitive band.

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **S3 PBH Search II**

• Target Sources:

$m_{ m min}(M_{\odot})$	$m_{ m max}(M_{\odot})$	$f_L({ m Hz})$	$N_{ m b}$	$D_{\rm max}({ m s})$
0.35	1.0	100	4500	22.1

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

S3: October 3 - January 09: 2004

	S3
H1-H2-L1 times	184 (167) hrs
H1-H2 times	604 (548)  hrs
Total times	788 (715) hrs

\* Numbers in () represent time left after data used for tuning search.

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **S3 PBH Search III - Tuning**

Coincidence Windows

$$\eta = rac{m_1m_2}{(m_1+m_2)^2} \qquad {\cal M} = rac{(m_1m_2)^{3/5}}{(m_1+m_2)^{1/5}}$$

• H1H2 Effective Distance Cut

$$\frac{|\mathrm{H1}D_{\mathrm{eff}} - \mathrm{H2}D_{\mathrm{eff}}|}{\mathrm{H1}D_{\mathrm{eff}}} < \kappa \qquad , \ \kappa = 0.45$$

• Parameters Selected:

$\Delta T$ (milliseconds)	$\Delta \mathcal{M}_c (M_{\odot})$	$\Delta \eta$
$4 \times 2$	$0.002 \times 2$	0.06

![](_page_17_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **S3 PBH Search IV - Tuning**

• Effective SNR:

$$ho_{ ext{eff}}^2 = rac{
ho^2}{\sqrt{\left(rac{\chi^2}{2 ext{p}-2}
ight)\left(1+rac{
ho^2}{250}
ight)}},$$

• Combined SNR:

$$(
ho_c)^2_{
m PBH} = \sum_i^N 
ho^2_{
m eff,i}$$

![](_page_18_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **S3 PBH Search V: Result**

- No triple coincident foreground candidate events or background events were found.
- Number of double coincidences found (▲) consistent with measured background (+).

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Conclusions

- r<sup>2</sup> test greatly reduces rate of false alarms in LIGO CBC searches.
- The r<sup>2</sup> test be incorporated into future LIGO searches: S5 Low Mass (M < 35M<sub>SOL</sub>) 1 year.
- A search for primordial black hole binary systems  $(M < 1M_{SOL})$  in LIGO's S3 run was performed with results consistent with measured background.

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Thank You!**

Prof. Gabriela González

Thesis Committee: Prof. James Matthews, Prof. David Young, Prof. Jorge Pullin

LSU-LIGO Group: Chad, Ravi, Rupal, Prof. Warren Johnson, Jake, Dr. Myungkee Sung, Prof. Joseph Giaime, Shyang, Andy W., Jeff, Dr. Romain Gouaty

CBC Group: Dr. Duncan Brown, Dr. Stephen Fairhurst, Dr. Eirini Messaritaki, Prof. Patrick Brady, Dr. Alexander Dietz, Drew Keppel

LSC: Prof. Peter Shawhan, Prof. Alan Weinstein, Prof. Laura Cadonati, Dr. John Whelan, Prof. Vicky Kalogera, Dr. Bill Kells

LSC and CBC Group for access to LIGO data

LSU Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, NSF, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation

*This research has been supported by National Science Foundation grants: PHY-0135389, PHY-0355289.* 

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Extra Slides**

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **BNS Waveform**

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **PBH Waveform**

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **BBH Waveform**

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **SNR**

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **\xi^2**

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Injection

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Injection vs Trigger**

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### r<sup>2</sup> test

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

### r<sup>2</sup> example

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### r^2 - S3 BNS

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### r^2 - S3 PBH

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### r^2 - S4 BNS

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### r<sup>2</sup> - S4 PBH

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

### r<sup>2</sup> - S5 BBH epoch 1

![](_page_36_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Effective SNR - S3 PBH**

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)