



#### Homodyne readout of an interferometer with Signal Recycling

# **Stefan Hild** for the GEO600 team IGR Leibniz Universität Hannover Leibniz October 2007 LSC-Virgo meeting Hannover

LIGO-G070746-00-Z







## Motivation for DC-readout (1)

# **Disadvantages**

- Increased coupling of laser power noise.
- Usually an output mode cleaner (OMC) is required.
- Very sensitive to imbalances of the interferometer arms.





## Motivation for DC-readout (2)

# **Advantages**

- Reduced shot noise (no contributing terms from 2 times the heterodyne frequency)
- Reduction of oscillator phase noise and oscillator amplitude noise
- Stronger low pass filtering of local oscillator (due to PR cavity pole)

#### Simplify the GW detector

- Simpler calibration (GW-signal in a single data-stream, even for detuned SR)
- Simpler circuits for photodiodes and readout electronics
- Possibility to use photodiodes with larger area => reduced coupling of pointing
- Reduced number of beating light fields at the output photodiode => simpler couplings of technical noise
- Requires less effort for injecting squeezed light (=> useful precursor for GEO-HF)
- LO and GW pass the same optical system (identical delay, filtering, spatial profile) => This advantage is especially important for detectors with arm cavities.





#### DC-readout without OMC



Disadvantage: Still some shot noise contribution from RF-sidebands.

Offset to dark fringe





## Simulated shot noise: Homodyne vs Heterodyne detection





#### UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM Simulated shot noise: Ho



#### Simulated shot noise: Homodyne vs Heterodyne detection



DC-readout with detuned SR: - better peak sensitvity

- shape is rotated => better at low freqs, worse at high freqs.



UNIVERSITY

# BIRMINGHAM Simulated shot noise: Homodyne vs



DC-readout with detuned SR: - better peak sensitivity

- shape is rotated => better at low freqs, worse at high freqs.







## Simulated shot noise: Homodyne vs Heterodyne detection



1<sup>st</sup> Question: Can we confirm the rotation of the shape in our measurements?





#### ,Rotation' of the optical gain

Rotated shape of optical response confirmed by measurement:



Rotated shape of optical response can be understood by looking at the phases of the contributing light fields. => change of the optical demodulation phase.



	С	GW+	GW-	MI+	MI-
f<< 550 Hz	0	0	0	0	180
f>>550 Hz	0	0	180	0	180





## Simulated shot noise: Homodyne vs Heterodyne detection



2<sup>nd</sup> Question: Can we confirm the change of the relative shape of tuned and detuned SR with DC-readout ?





## Simulated shot noise: Homodyne vs Heterodyne detection



2<sup>nd</sup> Question: Can we confirm the change of the relative shape of tuned and detuned SR with DC-readout ?





# Comparison of measured and simulated optical transfer function for DC-readout



The simulated optical transfer function for tuned and detuned SR wit DCreadout is reproduced by our measurements.





## Best sensitivity so far with DC-readout and a SR detuning of 550 Hz







## Noise budget for DC-readout (detuned SR)

<u>Laser power</u> noise (LPN):

is partly limiting at low frequencies

overall seems to be less of a problem than initially expected

3<sup>rd</sup> Question: Do we understand the laser power noise coupling?







#### Understanding the LPN in DC-readout



Good agreement between measurement and simulation !!





## Summary

- Demonstrated DC-readout with tuned and detuned Signal-Recycling (without OMC)
- Going to DC-readout changes the optical demodulation phase (rotated shape of optical response)
- Measurements and simulations agree pretty well:
  - > Optical response
  - Laser intensity noise coupling
- Achieved a displacement sensitivity of 2e-19m/sqrt(Hz) (currently worse sensitivity than in heterodyne readout)
- Laser power noise is not as bad as rumors suggest (due to filtering of PR cavity pole)





# Where to go in future ?? DC-readout with tuned Signal recycling

- Best shot noise at low and high frequencies.
- This combination of SR tuning and DC-readout would allow an ,easy' implementation of squeezed light (no filter cavity necessary to get full benefit)



See talk by S.Chelkowski @ QND-meeting





# Additional slides



#### UNIVERSITYOF BIRMINGHAM



#### Output mode for positive and negative dfo: observation vs simulation

1400

1200

1000

400

200









positive dfo



negative dfo



Stefan Hild

LSC-Virgo Meeting 10/2007





# Output mode for positive and negative dark fringe offset (dfo)





Wave front radii of returning beams @ beam splitter:

horizontal: north > east vertical: north < east







## Realisation of tuned signal recycling

• For tunings < 250 Hz we cannot achieve a reasonable control signal.



- Developed a new technique: We 'kick' MSR in a controlled way to jump to tuned SR, where a reasonable control signal can be obtained again.
- MSR is caught at the tuned operating point again.







## 2 different possibilities for going to tuned signal recycling



1. Keep the modulation frequency and jump to center zerocrossing.

Change the modulation frequency (corresponding to 0 Hz tuning)
=> only a single zerocrossing exists.





# Laser intensity noise coupling for tuned and detuned SR







# Tuned DC with various dark fringe offsets







# Comparison of heterodyne 550 Hz, tuned heterodyne and tuned DC



While in the two heterodyne cases the sensitivity is close to simulated shot noise at 2 kHz, this is not the case for tuned DC.







# Combination of tuned SR and squeezing– an option for GEO HF?

Squeezed light is available for injection

"Coherent Control of Vacuum Squeezing in the Gravitational-Wave Detection Band", Vahlbruch et al, PRL 97, 011101 (2006)

• Tuned Signal-Recycling operation was demonstrated

"Demonstration and comparison of tuned and detuned Signal-Recycling in a large scale gravitational wave detector", S Hild et al, CQG. 24 No 6, 1513-1523.

#### $\Rightarrow$ No need for long filter cavity !



