# The Promise and Challenge of Gravitational Experiments in Space

# GP-B and Lessons for LISA Technology

Sasha Buchman Stanford University Elba, May 2006 -G060315-00-Z







• Complex physics experiment do work in space, GP-B

LISA and GP-B have significant technology overlap

### LISA requires for success

- Simplified more robust design
- Use of modern technology
- Innovative operations methods



# George Bernard Shaw Toast at a banquet honoring Albert Einstein 1930





### **George Bernard Shaw 1930**



### Why Space?





### Seismic Noise <10Hz</p>

- Low gravity
- Long Baselines
- Long Measurement Times

# Launch Environment Cost and Duration Reliability; One Shot

Communications

### **STANFORD** LISA the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna



### STANFORD THE Relativity Mission Concept





# **GP-B Space Vehicle**



# STANFORD GP-B Launch; April 20, 2004



## **GP-B** Timeline

### I. GP-B Launch:



- Initial orbit checkout 4 months
- Plan was 40-60 days

II. Science Mission Start: Aug. 20, 2004

- Science Mission 11.5 months
- Data segments

III. Science Mission End: Aug. 5, 2005

Post Mission Calibrations – 1.5 months

IV. Helium Depleted: Sep. 29, 2005

ALL MAJOR SYSTEM WORKED WELL

Surprises occurred at all stages

V. Data Release:

Apr. 30, 2007



### **Data Ground Analysis**

STAN

- Anticipated completion early 2007
- ~ 1.5 Terabytes of data
  - ~ 700 sensors
  - ~ 10,000 monitors
  - Nominal data rate: 0.1-10 Hz
  - Snapshots: 220-2200 Hz
- > 99.1% data recovery

### Data release on COBE/WMAP model

- Drift data embargoed until analysis is complete
- Data released to public coinciding with publication of refereed papers



### **GP-B Drag-Free & Attitude Control:** A 9 degree of freedom problem



Satellite actively controls 9 interacting DOF:

3 in attitude of spacecraft to track guide star & maintain roll phase
3 in translation: drag-free about geometric center of gyro housing
3 in translation of gyroscope with respect to housing
Dynamics coupling is complex



# GP-B, STEP, LISA: INERTIAL REFERENCES 3 Ultra-Untypical Space Missions

### What is different?

- Sophisticated drag-free & attitude control system
- Payload is space vehicle sensor in a single integrated unit

### Human & management implications

- Integrated engineering/physics team for whole development phase
- New approaches to requirement verification
- Co-located operations/science team essential for initial orbit set-up

| Telescopes                    | GP-B                          | STEP                           | LPF                            | LISA                           |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 3 DOF<br>Precision<br>Control | 9 DOF<br>Precision<br>Control | 18 DOF<br>Precision<br>Control | 18 DOF<br>Precision<br>Control | 57 DOF<br>Precision<br>Control |

Limited comm links for non LEO missions present serious challenges

# **GP-B Technical Lessons Learned**

### **LISA Technology**

Data Analysis

Ground Simulations

### Operations and simulation

- Interacting multiple degrees of freedom and cross-coupling complicate operation concepts.
- Significant data rates are to be expected for LISA
- High fidelity simulation tools are needed to support operations planning and anomaly resolution for LISA.
- LISA system must be designed for realistic operations.

### Surface physics of coatings

Probable patch effects observed on GP-B.

 Studies of spatial and temporal variations as well as impact of contamination are needed for LISA.

### Charge management

- Charge management was essential to establish GP-B operation.
- GP-B demonstrated concept and successful operations.
- A larger dynamic range is needed for LISA.

### Charge management

Surface Coatings

# **GP-B** Communications, Commands, and Telemetry

### GP-B at 12.9 mHz

### TDRSS Network

- 20-40 minutes/contact
- ~12 contacts per day
- 1-2 Kbits/sec data rate

### Ground Stations

- 10-12 minutes/contact
- 4 contacts per day
- 32 Kbits/sec data rate
- 1.5 Tbytes/year

### **TDRSS** Satellite



**GP-B** Satellite

### **Ground Station**

# • LIGO/VIRGO/GEO600

- ~ 50 1000 Hz
- ~ 50Tbytes/year
- LISA
  - 0.03mHz to 1 Hz
  - Deep Space Network







# The Instruments: GPB and LISA



12.9 mHz

- 4 Gyroscopes
- 1 Telescope
- 1 Spacecraft
- Thermally Controlled
- 4 SQUIDs
- •
- 9 DOF Control



0.03 mHz - 1 Hz

- 6 GRS (3)
- 6 Telescopes
- 3 Spacecraft
- Thermally Controlled
- •
- 3 Interferometers
- 57 DOF Control (30)

# STANFORD Initial Orbit Checkout

- Mission planning
  - Planned 6 weeks lasted 4 months
- The unexpected (> 100 anomalies)
  - Thruster failures
  - Rad induced MBEs (10 × expected rate)
  - Computer reboots
  - Forward antenna degraded
  - Star sensor software difficulties
- Spacecraft commanding
  - <u>10,000</u> commands to spacecraft during IOC
    - LIGO/VIRGO/GEO600
      - Commissioning ~ 12 month
    - LISA
      - Lower science band than LVG
      - Slower communications





### **Use During Mission Development Phase**





Page 19



# **Simulator Features**

# Hardware-in-the-loop verification

- Fully integrated sensor-control-actuator simulations, operating across payload/spacecraft interface
- Modular architecture

# Realistic simulation for ops training

- Common development environment
- High fidelity spacecraft bus and CPU
- Integrated MOC





### **Facility Status**

### Fully operational system

- Hardware/software integrated
- Versatile interface for new mission development
- Incremental upgrade capability
- LISA Pathfinder drag-free control group ZARM Bremen, Germany, secured EU funding for collaboration
- Stanford submitting proposal to ROSES 2005 AISR AO for further development







### Recommendations



- Extensive ground simulator with hardware in the loop
- Full instrumentation of all systems
- Comprehensive periodical instrument calibrations
- Maximum instrumentation data to ground
- Fast data snapshots to ground
- Critical data processing on the ground



### **The Patch Effect**

- The patch effect refers to spatial variations in surface potential
- It can arise due to polycrystalline structure
- It can be affected by presence of contaminants



Patch fields are present on test mass and housing wall surfaces

- Interactions between patch fields cause forces that change with position, both in x and z directions
- Temporal variations in surface potential produce acceleration, in conjunction with
  - an ambient DC voltage, or
  - net free charge on the test mass



# **Kelvin Probe**

- The Kelvin probe measures contact potential difference (Vc) between a conducting specimen and a vibrating probe tip
- It is a non-contact, non-destructive vibrating capacitor device
- A backing potential Vb electrically connects specimen and probe tip
- When Vb = -Vc, the circuit is balanced
- Null condition can be detected accurately
- The Goddard probe is a custom-built UHV system with scanning capability



View of probe (diameter 3mm) sitting above samples



Kelvin's original apparatus





### **Materials Studied**

- Test mass:
  - Au/Pt with gold coating
- Housing walls:
  - substrate: beryllia, alumina or titanium (for inserts)
  - coatings: gold, diamond-like carbon (DLC), indium tin oxide, titanium carbide

+ various underlying layers chosen for adhesion, conductivity and smoothness

Note: many of the samples were precision coated in-house at Stanford





Example of samples ready for measurement in the Kelvin probe

Clockwise from top left: AuNb on alumina, DLC/Ti/Au/Nb on beryllia, DLC/Ti/Au/Ti on titanium, DLC/Ti/Au/Ti on alumina

Page 25



### **Examples of Spatial Scans**

### Gold-niobium on alumina (p-to-p 13 mV)



### Indium tin oxide on titanium (p-to-p 6 mV)



### Diamond-like carbon on beryllia (p-to-p 22 mV)



### Titanium carbide on titanium (p-to-p 6 mV)



Contact potential difference in volts over 10 mm by 10 mm area (400 data points). Page 26

### **STANFORD** Time variations of contact potential differences



# Raw data for first 2400 seconds of graph top right

Amplitude spectral density of data shown at bottom left



### **General Recommendations**

### The patch effect is a noise source which is not well characterized. An integrated effort is required to:

- > achieve reliable reproducible coatings with acceptable properties
- establish magnitude of spatial and temporal effects
- characterize the properties of the patch effects under flight-like environmental conditions: pressure, temperature, presence of contaminants..
- relate patch effects to noise requirements:
   update noise tree analysis and reassess parameters/requirements



### **Gyro #4 Analog Backup Levitation and De-levitation**

Title, Snapshot Position (Electrode Frame), Vehicle Time = 136092929.3 20 В 15 C 10 Position (µm) 5 -5 -10 -15 2 8 10 12 Π Δ 6 Elapsed Time (s)

Expected de-levitation time at 10<sup>-6</sup> m/s<sup>2</sup> is 10 s

Gyroscope charge on levitation is 200 - 400 mV

STANFORD

UNIVERSITY

Requires discharge to < 10 mV

Page 29



# **Charge Management**

- Rotor charge controlled via UV excited electrons
- Charge rates ~ 0.1 mV/day
- Continuous measurement at the 0.1 mV level
- Control requirement: 15 mV



### Discharge of Gyro1 following HV Spin Axis Alignment 450mV 450 **Discharge of Gyro1** 400 350 70mV/hour Gyro1 Charge (mV 300 discharge 250200 150 100mV 100 0 mV ----221.2 221.4 221.6 221.8 222 222.2 222.4222.6222.8 Day of year, 2004

### **UV Electrode**

Page 30



### **UV Lamp Assembly**

Charge controlled to < 5 mV



STANFORD UNIVERSITY

# **Gyro Charge for Science Mission**



Measured Gyro Charge (mV) vs Day of Year 2004



### Solar Flare 720

| Charging rates to day 390 |                          |              |  |  |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--|--|
|                           | Average<br>Charging Rate | Sun Spot 720 |  |  |
|                           | mV/day                   | mV           |  |  |
| Gyro 1                    | 0.098+/-0.003            | 0.63+/-0.05  |  |  |
| Gyro 2                    | 0.114+/-0.003            | 0.74+/-0.05  |  |  |
| Gyro 4                    | 0.152+/-0.003            | 1.15+/-0.05  |  |  |









### **UV Lamps Lifetime**

Rate (DN/min/IM count)

-4.0E-03

-5.0E-03

-6.0E-03

0

20

40

UV Lamp A Intensity vs Operating Hours





0.0E+00 -1.0E-03 -2.0E-03 -3.0E-03

60

time (hours)

80

100

Normalized Discharge Rates vs Time - LAMP B, -3V Bias

♦ G1 ● G2

∆ G3 ∎ G4

140

120

# LED Deep UV Source for Charge Management



UV LED with Fiber Output Spectral Distribution (4-15-2005)

STANFORD



Peak wavelength: 257.2 nm, comparable to Hg line 254 nm

**FWHM: 12.5 nm, good photoemission for Au coatings** 

Total UV power: 0.144 mW, sufficient for charge management

Page 34



STANFORD UNIVERSITY

# **AC Charge Management**

No need for dedicated DC bias
 AC electrical field can be used for control
 UV LED modulation is high frequency



UV modulation is phased in *positive* AC <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cycle:

Photoelectrons to housing electrodes



UV modulation is phased in *negative* AC <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Cycle:

Photoelectrons to test mass

Page 35

### **STANFORAC Charge Management Shows Promising** Characteristics

### UV LED and bias voltage modulated at 10 kHz



**Recommendations for UV Charge Management** 

### > Use UV LED as the UV source

- Light weight
- > Low electrical power
- Compact, robust
- Fast modulation



stane Advanced LISA Concepts – Stanford (2004)

### **Autonomous Gravitational Sensor**

- Separation from S/C Interferometry
- Measure PM position in housing
- Use housing for interferometry
- Single proof mass (PM) per S/C
- Non constraint GRS
- Large gap

GRS with double sided grating for PM and interferometer reference





One reflective dielectric grating functions as both beam splitter and reference:

No additional reference surface needed.
No dn/dT problems







### **E**<sup>STANFORD</sup> UNIVE Multiple Mirror Scheme for Telescope



- "The trend" (Ternary design was for next Hubble)
- Move lighter parts only
- Optical bench does not move
- Assign coarse and fine adjustments to different mirrors (numbers are OK)



Conclusions

Complex physics experiment do work in space, GP-B

• LISA and GP-B have significant technology overlap

### • LISA requires for success

- Simplified more robust design
- Use of modern technology
- Innovative operations methods