

Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC



Model-Based Cross-Correlation Search for Gravitational Waves from Scorpius X-1

John T. Whelan john.whelan@ligo.org

Center for Comp. Relativity & Gravitation, Rochester Institute of Technology and Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut), Hannover

> Special Colloquium Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie 2015 July 17 LIGO-G1500863-v3



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC



Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs





Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook





Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC

Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs





Motivation



- In Newtonian gravity, force dep on distance btwn objects
- If massive object suddenly moved, grav field at a distance would change instantaneously
- In relativity, no signal can travel faster than light
 - \longrightarrow time-dep grav fields must propagate like light waves



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Gravity as Geometry

Minkowski Spacetime:

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}(dt)^{2} + (dx)^{2} + (dy)^{2} + (dz)^{2}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} dt \\ dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix}^{\text{tr}} \begin{pmatrix} -c^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dt \\ dx \\ dy \\ dz \end{pmatrix} = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$$

General Spacetime:

$$ds^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} dx^{0} \\ dx^{1} \\ dx^{2} \\ dx^{3} \end{pmatrix}^{\text{tr}} \begin{pmatrix} g_{00} & g_{01} & g_{02} & g_{03} \\ g_{10} & g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} \\ g_{20} & g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} \\ g_{30} & g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} dx^{0} \\ dx^{1} \\ dx^{2} \\ dx^{3} \end{pmatrix} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu}$$

Metric tensor $\{g_{\mu\nu}(\{x^{\lambda}\})\}$ determined by masses via Einstein's equations. (10 non-linear PDEs!)





Gravitational Wave as Metric Perturbation

• For GW propagation & detection, work to 1st order in $h_{\mu\nu} \equiv$ difference btwn actual metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ & flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$:

$$g_{\mu
u}=\eta_{\mu
u}+h_{\mu
u}$$

 $(h_{\mu\nu}$ "small" in weak-field regime, e.g. for GW detection)

- $h_{\mu\nu}$ analogous to electromagnetic potential $\{A_{\mu}\} = \{\varphi, \vec{A}\}$
- Small coord changes induce "gauge transformation" on h_{μν} Convenient choice of gauge is transverse-traceless: In this gauge:
 - Vacuum Einstein eqns \implies wave equation for $\{h_{ij}\}$:

$$\left(-rac{1}{c^2}rac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}+
abla^2
ight)m{h}_{ij}=0$$

• Test particles w/constant coords are freely falling





Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Gravitational Wave Polarization States

Far from source, GW looks like plane wave prop along k
TT conditions mean, in convenient basis,

$$\{k_i\} \equiv \mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \{h_{ij}\} \equiv \mathbf{h} = \begin{pmatrix} h_+ & h_\times & 0\\h_\times & -h_+ & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $h_+\left(t - \frac{x^3}{c}\right)$ and $h_{\times}\left(t - \frac{x^3}{c}\right)$ are components in "plus" and "cross" polarization states

 EM (spin-1 massless photon) & grav (spin-2 massless "graviton") waves both have two polarization states



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Effects of Gravitational Wave

Fluctuating geom changes distances btwn particles in free-fall:





Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Gravitational Waves



Gravitational Wave Generation

- Generated by moving/oscillating mass distribution
- Lowest multipole is quadrupole



- Rotating neutron star w/non-axisymmetric perturbation gives sinusoidally-varying quadrupole moment. Note since gravity couples so weakly, only have to worry about lowest harmonic; No complicated "pulse profile"
- Other sources: compact binary inspiral, bursts (supernova etc), stochastic backgrounds...



Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Searches for Gravitational Waves

• Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics

Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors

- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook





Methods for Measuring Gravitational Waves

- Cosmic Microwave Background Perturbations $(f_{gw} \sim H_0 \sim 10^{-18} \text{ Hz})$
- Pulsar Timing Arrays ($10^{-9} \text{ Hz} \lesssim f_{gw} \lesssim 10^{-7} \text{ Hz}$)
- Laser Interferometers
 - Space-Based (10^{-3} Hz $\lesssim f_{gw} \lesssim 10^{-1}$ Hz)
 - \implies Ground-Based (10¹ Hz $\lesssim f_{gw} \lesssim 10^3$ Hz)
- Resonant-Mass Detectors (narrowband, $f_{gw} \sim 10^3 \, Hz$)

Note, observable GW freq cover 20 orders of magnitude, similar to EM radiation, but the frequencies are much lower ($10^3 \text{ Hz} \lesssim f_{em} \lesssim 10^{23} \text{ Hz}$)



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Effects of Gravitational Wave

Fluctuating geom changes distances btwn particles in free-fall:





Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Measuring GWs w/Laser Interferometry

Interferometry: Measure GW-induced distance changes



- Measure small change in $L_1 - L_2 \approx L_0 \frac{h_{11} - h_{22}}{2} \sim L_0 h_+$
- Plausible signals: $h \lesssim 10^{-20}$ \rightarrow need L_0 very big!
- For LIGO, $L_0 = 4 \text{ km}$



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Measuring GWs w/Laser Interferometry

Interferometry: Measure GW-induced distance changes



- Measure small change in $L_1 - L_2 \approx L_0 \frac{h_{11} - h_{22}}{2} \sim L_0 h_+$
- Plausible signals: $h \lesssim 10^{-20}$ \rightarrow need L_0 very big!
- For LIGO, $L_0 = 4 \text{ km}$



Searches for Gravitational Waves **Cross-Correlation Search** **GW** Detectors



Roques' Gallery of Ground-Based Interferometers



LIGO Hanford (Washington, USA)



LIGO Livingston (Louisiana, USA)



GEO-600 (Germany)



Virgo (Italy)

12/39

Model-based Cross-Correlation Search for GW from Sco X-1



Searches for Gravitational Waves **Cross-Correlation Search** **GW** Detectors



Roques' Gallery of Ground-Based Interferometers



LIGO Hanford (Washington, USA)

GEO-600 (Germany)

KAGRA (Japan)



LIGO Livingston (Louisiana, USA)

Virgo (Italy)

LIGO India

12/39

John T. Whelan

Model-based Cross-Correlation Search for GW from Sco X-1



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



GW Observatory Network

- "Initial Detector Era" for large ground-based interferometers $\sim 2002-2011$
- "Advanced Detector Era" starts this year
 - Germany: GEO-600 (600m) used for technology development (laser power, squeezed light, ...) & "astrowatch" in case a transient event occurs when other detectors offline.
 - USA: LIGO Hanford & LIGO Livingston (4km) First observing run Fall 2015
 - Italy: Virgo (3km) Expected to start observing 2016
 - Japan: KAGRA (formerly LCGT) (3km, underground, cryogenic, under construction)
 - India: LIGO India (4km, planned)

Detectors distributed on the Earth useful for sky localization of transient signals



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Sensitivity of Initial & Advanced Detectors



figure from *CQG* **27**, 173001 (2010)

14/39

G1500863-v3

John T. Whelan

Model-based Cross-Correlation Search for GW from Sco X-1



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



A Few Words About Collaborations

- LIGO Scientific Collaboration researchers at dozens of institutions world-wide working on instrument science, data analysis, astrophysics, etc.
 - LSC scientists operate 460 GEO detectors
 - and mana consortium are LSC members
- *(Constitution operates Virgo (Italy) and includes institutions in Italy, France, Netherlands, Poland & Hungary*
 - LIGO & Virgo conduct data analysis jointly
- KAGRA: Japanese collaboration constructing detector in Kamioka mine



Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors

Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries

- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook



Searches for Gravitational Waves **Cross-Correlation Search** GWs from LMXBs



Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries



- LMXB: compact object (neutron star or black hole) in binary orbit w/companion star
- If NS, accretion from companion provides "hot spot"; rotating non-axisymmetric NS emits gravitational waves
- Bildsten ApJL 501, L89 (1998) suggested GW spindown may balance accretion spinup; GW strength can be estimated from X-ray flux
- Torque balance would give \approx constant GW freq
- Signal at solar system modulated by binary orbit



GWs from LMXBs



Scorpius X-1

- 2nd brightest X-Ray source in the sky, after the Sun
- Favored model is $1.4M_{\odot}$ NS + $0.42M_{\odot}$ companion Steeghs & Casares ApJ 568, 273 (2002) Galloway et al ApJ 781, 14 (2014)

Parameters (see Messenger et al PRD 92, 023006 (2015) for refs)

Parameter		estimate	error
RA	α	16 ^h 19 ^m 55 ^s	006
dec	δ	-15°38′25″	006
distance	d	2.8 kpc	0.3 kpc
orb period	Porb	68023.70 s	0.04 s
time of ascension	tasc	2008-Jun-17 16:06:20 UTC	100 s
proj semimajor axis	a _p	1.44 lt-s	0.18 lt-s
eccentricity	е	0	0.02



18/39

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut) Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



Note on Inclination Angles

- Inclination *i* of binary orbit to line of sight
 - Projected semimajor axis $a_p = a \sin i$ (in light seconds)
 - Amplitude of orbital Doppler modulation $\frac{2\pi a_p}{P_{orb}}$
- Inclination ι of neutron star spin to line of sight
 - Amplitudes of GW polarizations:

 $A_{+} = h_0 \frac{1 + \cos^2 \iota}{2} \& A_{\times} = h_0 \cos \iota$

- Many searches sensitive to A²₊ + A²_× rather than just h²₀
 h₀ is amplitude of GW strain at the solar system
- Generally don't assume *i* and *i* are related



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Gravitational Waves GW Detectors GWs from LMXBs



GW Searches for Sco X-1

- Fully coherent *F*-statistic search Jaranowski, Królak & Schutz *PRD* 58, 063001 (1998)
 w/6 hours of 2003 LIGO data LSC *PRD* 76, 082001 (2007)
- Directed stochastic ("radiometer") search Ballmer CQG 23, S179 (2006)
 - w/2005 LIGO data LSC PRD 76, 082003 (2007)
 - w/2005-2007 LIGO data LVC PRL 107, 271102 (2011)
- Sideband search Messenger & Woan CQG 24, S469 (2007)
 w/2005-2007 LIGO data LVC PRD 91, 062008 (2015)
- TwoSpect search Goetz & Riles CQG 24, S469 (2007)
 w/2009-2010 LIGO/Virgo data LVC PRD 90, 062010 (2014)
- Model-based cross-correlation search

Dhurandhar, Krishnan, Mukhopadhyay & JTW *PRD* **77**, 082001 (2008) JTW, Sundaresan, Zhang & Peiris *PRD* **91**, 102005 (2015)



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates





Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook





Coherent Maximum-Likelihood Search (*F*-statistic)

- Divide signal parameters into
 - amplitude params: $\{h_0, \iota, \psi, \phi_0\}$ (amp, orientation etc)
 - Doppler params: $\lambda \equiv \{\alpha, \delta, f_0, \dot{f}_0, \ldots\}$ + orb params
- Jaranowski, Królak, Schutz PRD 58, 063001 (1998) showed signal linear in {*A^μ*} (fcns of amplitude params)

 $h(t; \mathcal{A}, \lambda) = \mathcal{A}^{\mu} h_{\mu}(t; \lambda)$ (assume $\sum_{\mu=1}^{4}$)

template waveforms $h_{\mu}(t)$ depend on Doppler params λ

• Optimal¹ detection statistic is maximized log-likelihood ratio

$$\mathcal{F}=rac{1}{2}x_{\mu}(\lambda)\mathcal{M}^{\mu
u}(\lambda)x_{
u}(\lambda)$$

where $\{x_{\nu}(\lambda)\}$ are four projections of the data

- Problem: long coherent searches need to try many λ values
- ¹But see Prix & Krishnan *CQG* **26**, 204013 (2009) and JTW, Prix, Cutler & Willis *CQG* **31**, 065002 (2014)



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates



Coherent vs Semi-Coherent Searches



Most CW searches semi-coherent: deliberately limit coherent integration time & param space resolution to keep number of templates (λ points) manageable



22/39



Motivation for Cross-Correlation Method

- *F*-stat quadratic in data; cross-terms combine all times
 → need too many templates
- "Radiometer" method (stochastic cross-correlation search) only combines data from different detectors at same time;
 → fast but much less sensitive.
- Construct quadratic cross-correlation statistic which combines all data segments w/|T_K − T_L| ≤ T_{max}





Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates





Basics of Cross-Correlation Method

Dhurandhar, Krishnan, Mukhopadhyay & JTW *PRD* **77**, 082001 (2008) JTW, Sundaresan, Zhang & Peiris *PRD* **91**, 102005 (2015)



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates





Basics of Cross-Correlation Method

Dhurandhar, Krishnan, Mukhopadhyay & JTW *PRD* **77**, 082001 (2008) JTW, Sundaresan, Zhang & Peiris *PRD* **91**, 102005 (2015)

• [BTW, other targets include SN1987A supernova remnant; see Chung, Melatos, Krishnan & JTW *MNRAS* **414**, 2650 (2011)]



23/39

Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates



Basics of Cross-Correlation Method

Dhurandhar, Krishnan, Mukhopadhyay & JTW PRD 77, 082001 (2008)

JTW, Sundaresan, Zhang & Peiris *PRD* 91, 102005 (2015)

- Divide data into segments of length T_{sft} & take "short Fourier transform" (SFT) K labels SFT, i.e., detector & time
- Parameters λ tell us which Fourier component should contain signal in a given SFT; z_K is that component, normalized by noise PSD S^(K)_n(f₀), so E [z_K] = μ_K and E [(z_K − μ_K)(z_L − μ_L)*] = δ_{KL}
- Construct quadratic statistic $\rho = z_K^* W_{KL} z_L$ with $W_{KL} \sim \mu_K \mu_L^*$ if $|T_K - T_L| \leq T_{max}$, 0 otherwise.
- Normalized so that $\operatorname{Var} \rho = 1$ and

$$E\left[
ho
ight] \propto h_0^2 rac{\sqrt{T_{
m obs} T_{
m max}}}{\left< \mathcal{S}_{\it n}(f_0)
ight>}$$



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC

Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates





Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook



24/39

Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut)

Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates



Metric for Cross-Correlation Search

JTW, Sundaresan, Zhang & Peiris *PRD* **91**, 102005 (2015)

• Consider dependence of ρ on parameters $\lambda \equiv \{\lambda_i\}$

• Parameter space metric $g_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{E[\rho, ij]|_{\lambda = \lambda_{true}}}{E[\rho^{true}]}$ from

$$\frac{E[\rho] - E[\rho^{\mathsf{true}}]}{E[\rho^{\mathsf{true}}]} = -g_{ij}(\Delta\lambda^{i})(\Delta\lambda^{j}) + \mathcal{O}([\Delta\lambda]^{3})$$

• Assume dominant contribution to $E[\rho_{,ij}]$ is from variation of $\Delta \Phi_{KL} = \Phi_K - \Phi_L$; get phase metric

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\textit{KL}} \Delta \Phi_{\textit{KL},i} \Delta \Phi_{\textit{KL},j} |W_{\textit{KL}}|^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \Delta \Phi_{\textit{KL},i} \Delta \Phi_{\textit{KL},j} \right\rangle_{\textit{KL}}$$

• If you ignore that weighting factor you get back usual metric

$$\langle \Phi_{I,i} \Phi_{I,j} \rangle_{I} - \langle \Phi_{I,i} \rangle_{I} \langle \Phi_{J,j} \rangle_{J}$$



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates



Computing Cost Scaling

JTW, Sundaresan, Zhang & Peiris PRD 91, 102005 (2015)

- Resolution is $\Delta f_0 \propto T_{\max}^{-1}$, $\Delta a_p \propto \frac{P_{orb}}{f_0 T_{\max}}$, $\Delta t_{asc} \propto \frac{P_{orb}^2}{a_p f_0 T_{\max}}$ (if $T_{\max} \ll P_{orb}$)
- Number of templates \$\propto f_0^2 T_{max}^3\$ (for Sco X-1, P_{orb} well constrained observationally)
- Number of pairs of data segments $\propto \frac{T_{obs}T_{max}}{T_{ots}^2}$
- Note: need $T_{\rm sft} \propto (f_0)^{-1/2}$ to avoid signal loss from Doppler phase acceleration
- Rough cost scaling $\propto f_0^2 T_{\rm max}^4$
- Work in progress on algorithmic improvements e.g. resampling à la Patel, Siemens, Dupuis & Betzwieser PRD 81, 084032 (2010)



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates





Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Fundamentals Parameter Search Sensitivity Estimates





Sensitivity Estimates

- Sensitivity of search $h_0 \propto (S_n)^{1/2} (T_{\rm obs} T_{\rm max})^{-1/4}$
- Expected signal strength from torque balance $h_0 \propto f_0^{-1/2}$
- Compare for 1 yr advanced detector data $w/T_{max} = 6, 60, 600 \text{ min}$ (Single-template false alarm prob 5×10^{-10})



JTW, Sundaresan, Zhang & Peiris PRD 91, 102005 (2015)



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Mock Data Challenge

- "Apples-to-apples" comparison of search methods
- One year simulated white gaussian LIGO (2 sites) & Virgo noise, with gaps, $(S_n)^{1/2} = 4 \times 10^{-24} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$ (advanced design)
- 100 simulated signals
 (50 "open" w/published parameters, 50 "closed")
 injected into specified 5 Hz bands from 50-1450 Hz
- Log-normal distribution of $6 \times 10^{-26} \le h_0 \le 2 \times 10^{-24}$ Mostly above torque-balance level; chosen for detectability
- Participants: Radiometer*, Sideband*, TwoSpect*, Polynomial, CrossCorr*
 - * has been used in LSC/LVC observational paper
 - * "late entrant" in self-blinded mode



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Cross-Correlation Configuration

Zhang, JTW & Krishnan in preparation

• SFT length varied with frequency (Doppler acceleration)

50–100 Hz	100–200 Hz	200–400 Hz	400–800 Hz	800–1450 Hz
900 s	600 s	420 s	300 s	240 s





Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Cross-Correlation Configuration

Zhang, JTW & Krishnan in preparation

• SFT length varied with frequency (Doppler acceleration)

50–100 Hz	100–200 Hz	200–400 Hz	400–800 Hz	800–1450 Hz
900 s	600 s	420 s	300 s	240 s

- Search $\pm 3\sigma$ in a_p and t_{asc}
- Adjust T_{max} to keep cost per 5 Hz band roughly constant
- Consider budget per band of $N_{\text{pair}}N_{\text{tmplt}} \le 5 \times 10^{14}$ [$\mathcal{O}(500)$ CPU-days on AEI atlas cluster]
- Choose two different T_{max} values for each freq octave (deeper search within 1.5σ of most likely orb params)

<u>`</u>				
50–100 Hz	100–200 Hz	200–400 Hz	400–800 Hz	800–1450 Hz
5400 s	2400 s	2100 s	1140 s	780 s
3600 s	1200 s	840 s	840 s	540 s



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Detection of Closed Signals: 50 for 50!



Zhang, JTW & Krishnan in preparation



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Detection: Open and Closed

- All closed signals were detected with $\rho > 15.4$
 - Detectable without zoom followup (Did it on 3 quietest anyway to increase SNR)
 - Could in principle use followup to improve param est; Limited by "spin wandering" (imperfect torque balance)
- Open signals more interesting:
 - 48 of 50 detected with $\rho > 11$
 - One signal (in 960 Hz band, with $h_0 = 4.96 \times 10^{-26}$) had $\rho = 6.7$; zoom followup brought this to $\rho = 14.7$
 - One signal (in 490 Hz band, with h₀ = 3.85 × 10⁻²⁶) not detected Loudest ρ (not at signal point) was 6.07; zoom brought to 5.02 (ρ at true signal params was 3.5)

Zhang, JTW & Krishnan in preparation



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Comparison of Detection Efficiencies

Out of 50 closed signals:

- CrossCorr: found 50 with $h_0 \gtrsim 6.8 \times 10^{-26}$
- TwoSpect: found 34 with $h_0 \gtrsim 1.3 imes 10^{-25}$
- Radiometer: found 28 with $h_0 \gtrsim 2.2 \times 10^{-25}$
- Sideband: found 16 with $h_0 \gtrsim 3.6 imes 10^{-25}$
- Polynomial: found 7 with $h_0 \gtrsim 7.7 \times 10^{-25}$



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Comparison of Detection Efficiencies



Messenger et al PRD 92, 023006 (2015)



Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Searches for Gravitational W

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates
- 3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge
 - Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
 - Parameter Estimation
 - Summary and Future Outlook



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Original Search Grid (excerpt)



Zhang, JTW & Krishnan in preparation



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Refined Grid (same T_{max})



Zhang, JTW & Krishnan in preparation



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Zoomed Grid (increase T_{max} if desired for followup)



Zhang, JTW & Krishnan in preparation



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



CrossCorr Parameter Estimation

- In 1 year Gaussian noise $\rho \gtrsim 8$ means false alarm $< 10^{-13}$ region with trials factor $\sim 10^8$, still $\lesssim 10^{-5}$
- For such "detections", can estimate parameters:
 - *h*₀ from *ρ* value and noise level dominant error is unknown inclination of NS spin ("could" estimate, but we didn't for MDC)
 - f_0 , a_p , t_{asc} from values around best-fitting template
- In 3 × 3 × 3 box around "best" point, fit parabola to ρ(λ)
 allows resolution below grid spacing
- Sources of error:
 - Systematic: unknown inclination means max CC stat not at true param values, even without noise
 - Statistical: noise fluctuations offset max CC stat
 - Interpolation: determination of sub-grid



Parameter Estimation: Time of Ascension



- No one else estimated t_{asc}
- Errors consistent w/errorbars (after high-SNR empirical correction based on open data)



Parameter Estimation: Projected Semimajor Axis



- Removed systematic bias at low frequencies (high-SNR empirical correction based on open data)
- Even w/conservative errorbars, most precise ap measurement



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Parameter Estimation: Frequency



- Systematic error dominant
- Interpolation allows very precise f₀ estimate



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Parameter Estimation: Amplitude



Dominant error from unknown spin inclination (for everyone)
 Messenger et al *PRD* 92, 023006 (2015)



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimatio Summary



Outline

Searches for Gravitational Waves

- Crash Course in Gravitational Wave Physics
- Gravitational-Wave Observations & Detectors
- Gravitational Waves from Low-Mass X-Ray Binaries
- 2 Cross-Correlation Search
 - Fundamentals of Periodic GW Searches
 - Parameter Space Search
 - Sensitivity Estimates

3 Scorpius X-1 Mock Data Challenge

- Detecton of Sco X-1 MDC Signals
- Parameter Estimation
- Summary and Future Outlook



Searches for Gravitational Waves Cross-Correlation Search Sco X-1 MDC Detection Parameter Estimation Summary



Summary and Future Outlook

- Advanced Gravitational Wave detectors about to begin observing in 10-4000 Hz band
- Promising target is the low-mass X-ray binary Scorpius X-1
- Cross-correlation method adapted for CW signals allows balance of sensitivity and computing cost via semicoherent analysis
- Advanced detector era sensitivity should reach torque balance prediction
- Validated by recent Sco X-1 Mock Data Challenge
- Note: proposed stacked *F*-stat search (Leaci and Prix *PRD* 91, 102003 (2015)) has similar sensitivity scaling; aims to achieve longer coherence time