LIGO Voyager

Rana Adhikari Caltech

/16 https://dcc.ligo.org/LIGO-G1601461





Sub-Systems

- Silicon Mirrors: 140-200 kg, mCZ
- Coatings: a-Si/SiO₂ or others
- Wavelength Choice: 1.55 2.1 microns
- Cryogenics: 123 K (for zero CTE), radiative (non contact) cooling
- Lasers (~2 micron): P_{PRM} ~ 140 W, P_{ARM} ~ 3 MW
- Thermal Compensation: Silica compensation plates only (CO2 lasers, no ring heaters; no heating of test mass)
- Photodiode Quantum Efficiency: 80 -> 99% for 2 micron

Draft Whitepaper: <u>https://dcc.ligo4org/LIGO-T1400226</u>



Evans, Barsotti, Adhikari Nov 2015

200 kg Silicon Mirror

- $P_{abs} < 5 W (goal); P_{coat} = alpha*3MW; P_{sub} = d_thick*alpha*P_{BS}(1W ~> 10 ppm/cm)$
- 3 ppm/cm (FZ): FZ max diameter ~ 20 cm
- mCZ from SEH can get 10-20 kOhm in wafers after high T annealing (to trap oxygen)
- samples acquired, absorption measurements in progress (< 2 ppm)
- SEH Japan will make 45 cm diameter mCZ
- how to sequence all of the annealing? Different processes for substrates, coatings.



Coatings

- · a-Si / SiO₂ baseline
- Pohl, Hellman data
- Glasgow IBS results
- Evidence of high T deposition leading to low friction due to high surface mobility*
- high T deposition with IBS this year
- lower absorption in a-Si (1-5-2 microns) (Glasgow)

*Physics Today (Jan 2016): http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03540



serious Cryogenics

Liquid Helium Resonant 'Bar' at **Caltech** (Schwab)

4 cm Niobium cavity filled with Superfluid ⁴He Q ~ 100 million



serious Cryogenics

Large GW Detectors < 10 K care taken to filter seismic & minimize upconversion

AURIGA

miniGRAIL @ Leiden

- No serious issues here; this is <u>NOT</u> like CERN or KAGRA or dilution fridges
- ~10 W heat extraction capability in steady state
- Prelim mech drawing & backscatter analysis done (Stanford/CIT engineers).
- Vibration from cryogenics no worse than existing cryo pumps.
- How to do initial cool down? Heat switches?



NTABL





John Hagopian / NASA Goddard





2 micron lasers

- Tm:YAG, Ho:YAG commercial lasers exist (low power, low noise, or high power, high noise)
- Adelaide lasers (Veitch LVC talk)
- Testing at CIT this summer

Laser dev Timeline

2015	20	2016		17	2018	201	9	2	020	2021	2022	2023	
Cor pro requ	Conceptual proposals/ requirements		FE stage	Advanced testing		Downselect	Engineering final design		Procurement	Installation of FE stage into LIGO		Operation	
Demo of high power stages											High powe	ər n	

EU Funded 2 micron laser study



Wavelength Choice

- We know and like 1064 nm. Lots of experience.
- Many new issues with 1.5 2.1 microns.
- ~200 W lasers feasible with 1.8 2.1 microns
- PD QE > 80% today. No showstoppers yet.
- Scatter loss decreases with wavelength; quantum noise improvement. Increases <u>ultimate</u> reach assuming we solve "*nuisance*" losses (FI, OMC, MM, PBS, clipping, alignment, viewports, etc.)



Prototype Facility



https://dcc.ligo.org/G1600510

