

Advanced LIGO Engineering Change Request (ECR)

ECR Title: Increase the Tuning Range of the Voltage Controlled Crystal Oscillator

DCC No: E1800041-v1

Date: 2/21/2018

Requester: Daniel Sigg

Impacted Subsystem(s):

SQZ

Description of Proposed Change(s): We propose to send the 203.125 MHz OCXO back to Wenzel, so they can increase its tuning range by ~2. We also propose to eliminate the 1.6/40Hz pole/zero pair that limits the range at frequencies >10 Hz. A third option is to acquire AT-cut crystals that have intrinsically a larger tuning range.

Changes:

1. Replace R9 with 4.99K
2. Replace R28 with 49.9K
3. Remove R25, R30, and R43
4. Replace R20 with 100
5. Remove C46, C47, and C60
6. Replace R39 with 24.9K
7. Replace OCXO with new/modified unit.

Reason for Change(s): The tuning range of the voltage controlled crystal oscillator, which is used to drive one of the SQZ AOMs, is not large enough to compensate for the fiber noise in the CLF path.

Estimated Cost: ~2000\$ for options 1 and 2; ~\$3000 for option 3.

Schedule Impact Estimate: small

Nature of Change (check all that apply):

- Hardware Safety
- Correct Hardware
- Correct Documentation

- Improve Hardware/Software
- Improve/Clarify Documentation
- Change Interface
- Change Requirement

Importance:

- Desirable for ease of use, maintenance, safety
- Desirable for improved performance, reliability
- Essential for performance, reliability
- Essential for function
- Essential for hardware safety

Urgency:

- No urgency
- Desirable by date/event:
- Essential by date/event:
- Immediately (ASAP)

Impacted Hardware (select all that apply):

- Repair/Modify. List part & SNs: _____
- Scrap & Replace. List part & SNs: _____
- Installed units? List IFO, part & SNs: _____
- Future units to be built

Impacted Documentation (list all dwgs, design reports, test reports, specifications, etc.):

[D1700017](#)

Advanced LIGO Engineering Change Request (ECR)

Disposition of the proposed change(s):

The disposition of this proposed engineering change request is to be completed by Systems Engineering and indicated in the “Notes and Changes” metadata field in the DCC entry for this ECR. The typical dispositions are as follows:

- **Additional Information Required:** in which case the additional information requested is defined. The ECR requester then re-submits the ECR with the new information using the same DCC number for the ECR but with the next version number.
- **Rejected:** in which case the reason(s) for the rejection are to be given
- **Approved**
- **Approved with Caveat(s):** in which case the caveat(s) are listed
- **TRB:** the ECR is referred to an ad-hoc Technical Review Board for further evaluation and recommendation. It is the System Engineer’s (or designee’s) responsibility to organize the TRB. The System Engineer (or designee) then makes a technical decision based on the TRB’s recommendation. Links to the TRB’s documentation (charge, memos, final report, etc.) are to be added to the “Related Documents” field for this ECR.
- **CCB:** a change request for approval of additional funds or schedule impact is to be submitted to the Configuration Control Board. Links to the CCB’s documentation (CR, etc.) are to be added to the “Related Documents” field for this ECR.

Concurrence by Project Management:

Acknowledgement/acceptance/approval of the disposition is to be indicated by the electronic “signature” feature in the DCC entry for this ECR, by one of the following personnel:

- Systems Scientist
- Systems Engineer
- Deputy Systems Engineer